Twist-3 factorization for Q_T integrated Drell-Yan processes

Guang-Peng Zhang(张广鹏) CHEP, PKU

arXiv: 1409.2938, Collaborate with J.P. Ma

13th LHC Mini-workshop, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou , 2014/11/08

- Introduction to SSA;
- Collinear expansion;
- Tree level calculation;
- Summary and Outlook.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = つへぐ

Single transverse Spin Asymmetry(SSA)

- Hadroproduction: $P_A(S_{\perp}) + P_B \rightarrow h + X$; L.Bland,1410.1140
- Semi-inclusive DIS: $e + P(S_{\perp}) \rightarrow e' + h + X$; Hermes Collaboration,0906.3918; Compass Collaboration,1205.5121;1205.5122
- Polarized Drell-Yan(DY): $P_A(S_{\perp}) + P_B \rightarrow \gamma^* + X \rightarrow l^+ l^- + X$. Prepared...



・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Э

Sac

Factorization:

$$\sigma = H(x_a, x_b, z_h) \otimes f_A(x_a) \otimes f_B(x_b) \otimes D_h(z_h) + \mathcal{O}(\frac{\Lambda_{QCD}}{Q}), \quad (1)$$

Q: the hard scale in the hard scattering, \sqrt{s} or $P_{h\perp}$. **PDFs**:

$$\int \frac{d\xi^{-}}{4\pi} e^{i\xi^{-}xP^{+}} \langle P, s | \bar{\psi}(0) \gamma^{\mu} \psi(\xi^{-}) | P, s \rangle = f_{1}(x) I^{\mu},$$

$$\int \frac{d\xi^{-}}{4\pi} e^{i\xi^{-}xP^{+}} \langle P, s | \bar{\psi}(0) \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \psi(\xi^{-}) | P, s \rangle = g_{1}(x) S^{+} I^{\mu} + \frac{M}{P^{+}} g_{T}(x) S^{\mu}_{\perp},$$

$$\int \frac{d\xi^{-}}{4\pi} e^{i\xi^{-}xP^{+}} \langle P, s | \bar{\psi}(0) \psi(\xi^{-}) | P, s \rangle = \frac{M}{P^{+}} e(x),$$

$$\int \frac{d\xi^{-}}{4\pi} e^{i\xi^{-}xP^{+}} \langle P, s | \bar{\psi}(0) \sigma^{\mu\nu} i \gamma_{5} \psi(\xi^{-}) | P, s \rangle = h_{1}(x) S^{(\mu}_{\perp} I^{\nu)} + \frac{M}{P^{+}} h_{L}(x) S^{+} I^{(\mu} n^{\nu)}.$$
(2)

Light-cone coordinates: $a^{\mu} = a^+ l^{\mu} + a^- n^{\mu} + a^{\mu}_{\perp}$, $n \cdot l = 1$, $n^2 = l^2 = 0$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□▶ ◆○

Twist-3 factorization

- Twist-2 factorization: failed to explain SSA;
- Reason: Massless QCD conserves the helicity of fermion;
- Twist-3 factorization: $g_T(x)$?

However, $g_T(x)$ is not renormalizable, thus not proper to be used to factorize SSA. X.Ji&J.Osborne0102026 More general twist-3 distribution functions(Qiu-Sterman matrix elements) are required, J.Qiu&G.Sterman,PRL67(1991)2264;NPB378(1992)52

$$T_{F}(x_{1}, x_{2})\tilde{s}_{\perp}^{\mu} = g_{s} \int \frac{dy_{1}dy_{2}}{4\pi} e^{i(-x_{1}y_{1}+x_{2}y_{2})P^{+}} \langle Ps|\bar{\psi}(y_{1}n)\gamma^{+}G_{\perp}^{+\mu}(0)\psi(y_{2}n)|Ps\rangle$$

$$T_{F}^{\sigma}(x_{1}, x_{2}) = g_{s} \int \frac{dy_{1}dy_{2}}{4\pi} e^{i(-x_{1}y_{1}+x_{2}y_{2})P^{+}} \langle Ps|\bar{\psi}(y_{1}n)i\gamma_{\perp\mu}\gamma^{+}G_{\perp}^{+\mu}(0)\psi(y_{2}n)|Ps\rangle.$$
(3)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Polarized DY: q_{\perp} -integrated

Feature: There is only one hard scale $Q^2 = (l_1 + l_2)^2$.

$$P_A(S_{\perp}) + P_B \to \gamma^*(q) + X \to I(k_1)\overline{I}(k_2) + X, \tag{4}$$

where $P_{A,B}$ are along z-axis, spin vector is perpendicular to P_A . In light-cone coordinates,

$$P_{A}^{\mu} = P_{A}^{+} I^{\mu} + \frac{M_{A}^{2}}{2P_{A}^{+}} \simeq P_{A}^{+} I^{\mu}, \quad P_{B}^{\mu} = P_{B}^{-} n^{\mu} + \frac{M_{B}^{2}}{2P_{B}^{-}} \simeq P_{B}^{-} n^{\mu}, \quad (5)$$

where for any four vector $a^{\mu} = a^{+}l^{\mu} + a^{-}n^{\mu} + a^{\mu}_{\perp}$, $n \cdot l = 1$, $n^{2} = l^{2} = 0$.

$$\frac{d\sigma(S_{\perp})}{dQ^2 d\Omega} = \frac{\alpha^2}{4SQ^4} \int d^4q \delta(q^2 - Q^2) L_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu}$$
$$= \frac{\alpha^2}{8Q^4} \int dx dy \delta(xyS - Q^2) \int d^2q_{\perp} L_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu}, \qquad (6)$$

where $x = \frac{q^2}{2P_A \cdot q} \simeq \frac{q^+}{P_A^+}, \quad y = \frac{q^2}{2P_B \cdot q} \simeq \frac{q^-}{P_B^-}.$

Hadronic tensor:

$$W^{\mu\nu} = \int \frac{d^4x}{(2\pi)^4} e^{iq \cdot x} \langle P_A S_A, P_B | j^{\mu}(x) j^{\nu}(0) | P_A S_A, P_B \rangle,$$

$$j^{\mu} = \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \psi.$$
(7)

Leptonic tensor:

.

$$L^{\mu\nu} = 2(k_1^{\mu}k_2^{\nu} + k_1^{\nu}k_2^{\mu} - g^{\mu\nu}Q^2).$$
(8)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

The cross section is defined in Collins-Soper(CS) frame, which is the rest frame of lepton pair.

The angle of one lepton is defined as:

$$k_1^{\mu} = rac{Q}{2}(1,\sin\theta\cos\phi,\sin\theta\sin\phi,\cos\theta)$$

Twist-3 factorization



Three lowest order diagrams contributing to SSA. The dot means QED interaction. The bubbles represent all possible Feynman diagrams.

Basic formula:

$$\frac{d\sigma(S_{\perp})}{dQ^2 d\Omega} = H_1 \otimes \bar{q} \otimes T_F + H_2 \otimes T_F^{\sigma} \otimes h_1 \tag{9}$$

・ロト ・ 聞 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Э

Sar

Basic assumption: All twist-3 contribution comes from collinear expansion.

- All partons are collinear, either to P_A or to P_B ;
- Expansion in the transverse momenta of partons, including fermions and gluons.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Note: One can explicitly check this assumption order by order.

Example: Born diagram



$$W_{a}^{\mu\nu} = \int d^{4}k_{A}d^{4}k_{B}\delta^{4}(k_{A}+k_{B}-q)\gamma_{mi}^{\mu}\gamma_{jn}^{\nu}\int \frac{d^{4}\xi_{a}}{(2\pi)^{4}}e^{i\xi_{a}\cdot k_{A}}\langle P_{A}S_{A}|\bar{q}_{j}(0)q_{i}(\xi_{a})|P_{A}S_{A}\rangle$$

$$\int \frac{d^{4}\xi_{b}}{(2\pi)^{4}}e^{i\xi_{b}\cdot k_{B}}\langle P_{B}|q_{n}(0)\bar{q}_{m}(\xi_{b})|P_{B}\rangle, \qquad (10)$$

・日マ ・聞マ ・田マ

≡ ∽ < (~

Example: Born diagram

$$W_{a}^{\mu\nu} = \int d^{4}k_{A}d^{4}k_{B}\delta^{4}(k_{A}+k_{B}-q)\gamma_{mi}^{\mu}\gamma_{jn}^{\nu}\int \frac{d^{4}\xi_{a}}{(2\pi)^{4}}e^{i\xi_{a}\cdot k_{A}}\langle P_{A}S_{A}|\bar{q}_{j}(0)q_{i}(\xi_{a})|P_{A}S_{A}\rangle$$

$$\int \frac{d^{4}\xi_{b}}{(2\pi)^{4}}e^{i\xi_{b}\cdot k_{B}}\langle P_{B}|q_{n}(0)\bar{q}_{m}(\xi_{b})|P_{B}\rangle, \qquad (11)$$

- Twist-2 contribution comes ONLY from *collinear region*;
- Twist-3 contribution can be extracted from *collinear expansion* of hard part to the next to leading power(O(λ));
- Collinear region:

$$k_{A}^{\mu} = (k_{A}^{+}, k_{A}^{-}, k_{A\perp}^{\mu}) \sim Q(1, \lambda^{2}, \lambda),$$

$$k_{B}^{\mu} = (k_{B}^{+}, k_{B}^{-}, k_{B\perp}^{\mu}) \sim Q(\lambda^{2}, 1, \lambda), \ \lambda = \frac{\Lambda_{QCD}}{Q}.$$
(12)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Collinear expansion: Born diagram

Collinear limit for antiquark:

$$\delta^{4}(k_{A} + k_{B} - q) \simeq \delta(k_{A}^{+} - q^{+})\delta(k_{B}^{-} - q^{-})\delta^{2}(k_{A\perp} - q_{\perp}).$$

$$W_{a}^{\mu\nu} = \int d^{4}k_{A}\delta(k_{A}^{+} - q^{+})\delta(k_{A\perp} - q_{\perp})\gamma_{mi}^{\mu}\gamma_{jn}^{\nu}\int \frac{d^{4}\xi_{a}}{(2\pi)^{4}}e^{i\xi_{a}\cdot k_{A}}\langle P_{A}S_{A}|\bar{q}_{j}(0)q_{i}(\xi_{a})|P_{A}S_{A}\rangle$$

$$\int d^{4}k_{B}\delta(k_{B}^{-} - q^{-})\int \frac{d^{4}\xi_{b}}{(2\pi)^{4}}e^{i\xi_{b}\cdot k_{B}}\langle P_{B}|q_{n}(0)\bar{q}_{m}(\xi_{b})|P_{B}\rangle$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2N_{c}}\bar{q}(y)\int d^{4}k_{A}\delta(k_{A}^{+} - q^{+})\delta(k_{A\perp} - q_{\perp})\gamma_{mi}^{\mu}(\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{+})_{jn}$$

$$\int \frac{d^{4}\xi_{a}}{(2\pi)^{4}}e^{i\xi_{a}\cdot k_{A}}\langle P_{A}S_{A}|\bar{q}_{j}(0)q_{i}(\xi_{a})|P_{A}S_{A}\rangle, \qquad (13)$$

where $\int \frac{d\xi_b^+}{2\pi} e^{i\xi_b^+k_B^-} \langle P_B | q_n(0) \bar{q}_m(\xi_b) | P_B \rangle = -\frac{1}{2N_c} [\bar{q}(y)\gamma^+ + \cdots].$

Collinear expansion for quark,

$$k_{A}^{+} \sim \mathcal{O}(1), \ k_{A\perp} \sim \mathcal{O}(\lambda),$$

$$\delta(k_{A\perp} - q_{\perp}) = \delta(q_{\perp}) - \frac{\partial \delta(q_{\perp})}{\partial q_{\perp}^{\rho}} k_{A\perp}^{\rho} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda).$$
(14)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Integrating over $k_{A\perp}$ and k_A^- , the twist-3 contribution of $\delta(q_{\perp})$ -term concerns two distributions, $\langle P, s | \bar{q}(0) (\gamma_{\perp}^{\rho}, \gamma_{\perp}^{\rho} \gamma_5) q(\xi^-) | P, s \rangle$. But,

• Using PT transformation, one can show

$$\langle PS_A|\bar{q}(0)\gamma_{\perp}^{\rho}q(\xi^{-})|PS_A\rangle = \langle P, -S_A|\bar{q}(0)\gamma_{\perp}^{\rho}q(\xi^{-})|P, -S_A\rangle,$$

which is spin independent;

• $\gamma_{\perp}^{\rho}\gamma_{5}$ corresponds to distribution $g_{T}(x)$, but γ_{5} causes μ, ν to be antisymmetric.

Only derivative term may give twist-3 contribution,

$$W^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{4N_c} \bar{q}(y) \int dk_A^+ \delta(k_A^+ - q^+) Tr(\gamma^+ \gamma^\mu \gamma^- \gamma^\nu) \frac{\partial \delta(q_\perp)}{\partial q_\perp^\rho} \\ \int \frac{d\xi_a^-}{4\pi} e^{i\xi_a^- k_A^+} \langle P_A S_A | \bar{q}(0) \gamma^+ \partial_\perp^\rho q(\xi_a^-) | P_A S_A \rangle.$$
(15)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Once again, PT invariance leads to $\langle PS_A | \bar{q}(0)\gamma^+ \partial^{\rho}_{\perp} q(\xi^-) | PS_A \rangle = \langle P, -S_A | \bar{q}(0)\gamma^+ \partial^{\rho}_{\perp} q(\xi^-) | P, -S_A \rangle$, which is also spin independent.

Conclusion: Born diagram has no twist-3 contribution.

Following the same procedure, one can calculate the twist-3 contribution of Fig.b,c,



The momentum of the gluon is k, and going **into** the EM vertex in both diagrams. The bubbles represent all possible Feynman diagrams.

A D > A D > A D > A D >

SQA

э

One gluon exchanged diagrams

$$W_{b}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{N_{c}k_{B}^{-}}\bar{q}(y)\int \frac{dk^{+}}{k^{+}+i\epsilon}\frac{i}{2}g_{s}\int \frac{d\xi_{a}^{-}d\xi_{-}^{-}}{(2\pi)^{2}}e^{i\xi^{-}k^{+}+i\xi_{a}^{-}k_{A}^{+}}$$

$$[k_{B}^{-}g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial\delta(q_{\perp})}{\partial q_{\perp}^{\rho}}\langle\bar{q}(0)\gamma^{+}G^{+}(\xi^{-})(\partial_{\perp}^{\rho}q)(\xi_{a}^{-})\rangle$$

$$+\delta^{2}(q_{\perp})\langle\bar{q}(0)\gamma^{+}(\partial^{+}G_{\perp}^{\mu}-\partial_{\perp}^{\mu}G^{+})(\xi^{-})l^{\nu}q(\xi_{a}^{-})\rangle],$$

$$W_{c}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{N_{c}k_{B}^{-}}\bar{q}(y)\int \frac{dk^{+}}{k^{+}+i\epsilon}\frac{i}{2}g_{s}\int \frac{d\xi_{a}^{-}d\xi^{-}}{(2\pi)^{2}}e^{i\xi^{-}k^{+}+i\xi_{a}^{-}k_{A}^{+}}$$

$$[-k_{B}^{-}g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial\delta(q_{\perp})}{\partial q_{\perp}^{\rho}}\langle\bar{q}(0)\gamma^{+}\partial_{\perp}^{\rho}(G^{+}(\xi^{-})q(\xi_{a}^{-}))\rangle],$$

$$+\delta^{2}(q_{\perp})\langle\bar{q}(0)\gamma^{+}(\partial^{+}G_{\perp}^{\nu}-\partial_{\perp}^{\nu}G^{+})(\xi^{-})l^{\mu}q(\xi_{a}^{-})\rangle].$$
(16)

Using PT transformation, one can show $[\cdots]$ is **real**. Thus, in order to obtain a real $W^{\mu\nu}$, one should take the discontinuity of the amplitudes,

$$\frac{1}{k^+ + i\epsilon} \to -i\pi\delta(k^+). \tag{17}$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

In order to obtain a real $W^{\mu\nu},$ one should take the discontinuity of the amplitudes, i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{k^+ + i\epsilon} \to -i\pi\delta(k^+). \tag{18}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

Then non-derivative part:

$$W_{b+c}^{\mu\nu} \supset \frac{\pi}{N_c k_B^-} \bar{q}(y) \delta(q_\perp) \frac{1}{2} g_s \lim_{k^+ \to 0} \int \frac{d\xi_a^- d\xi^-}{(2\pi)^2} e^{i\xi_a^- k_A^+ + i\xi^- k^+} \langle \bar{q}(0) \gamma^+ (G_\perp^{+\mu} l^\nu + G_\perp^{+\nu} l^\mu) (\xi^-) q(\xi_a^-) \rangle,$$
(19)

which satisfies color gauge invariance automatically. But the derivative term is not the case.

Derivative part:

$$W_{b+c}^{\mu\nu} \supset -\frac{1}{N_c} \bar{q}(y) g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial \delta(q_{\perp})}{\partial q_{\perp}^{\rho}} \frac{1}{2} g_s \int dk^+ \delta(k^+) \int \frac{d\xi_a^- d\xi}{4\pi} e^{i\xi_a^- k_A^+ + i\xi^- k^+} \langle \bar{q}(0) \gamma^+ (\partial_{\perp}^{\rho} G^+)(\xi^-) q(\xi_a^-) \rangle.$$
(20)

Thanks to the factor $\delta(k^+)$, one can write the corresponding distribution into gauge invariant form, $\partial^{\rho}_{\perp}G^+ = -G^{+\rho}_{\perp} + \partial^+G^{\rho}_{\perp}$,

$$\delta(k^+) \int d\xi^- e^{i\xi^- k^+} \partial^+ G^{\rho}_{\perp}(\xi^-) = \delta(k^+) \left(G^{\rho}_{\perp}(\infty^-) - G^{\rho}_{\perp}(-\infty^-) \right) = 0.$$
(21)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Now the derivative term can be written into gauge invariant form through the replacement $\partial^{\rho}_{\perp} G^+ \rightarrow -G^{+\rho}_{\perp}$.

One may ask how to recover the nonlinear term in field strength tensor, i.e., $G^+G_{\perp}^{\rho}$. It is difficult, because in derivative term, all coherent gluons are G^+ -gluon. The derivative on the fermion field may be helpful, since $\partial_{\perp}^{\rho}\psi = D_{\perp}^{\rho}\psi - igG_{\perp}^{\rho}\psi$ and D_{\perp} -term does not contribute to SSA due to PT invariance.

However, there is a more elegant way. Remember that the number of G^+ -gluon connecting hard part and the jet can be infinity. And all these gluons can be summed up into the gauge link. In this sense, Fig.a,b,c all are the same, and the derivative term is

$$W^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{N_c} \bar{q}(y) g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial \delta(q_{\perp})}{\partial q_{\perp}^{\rho}} \int \frac{d\xi_a^-}{4\pi} e^{i\xi_a^- q^+} \langle \bar{q}(0)\gamma^+ \partial_{\perp}^{\rho} \left(\mathcal{L}_n^{\dagger}(\xi_a^-)q(\xi_a^-) \right) \rangle,$$
(22)

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

where $\mathcal{L}_n(x) \equiv Pexp[-ig_s \int_{-\infty}^0 d\lambda G^+(x+\lambda n)].$

Gauge link

A useful identity:

$$\partial_{\perp}^{\rho} \left(\mathcal{L}_{n}^{\dagger} q \right) \left(\xi^{-} \right) = \mathcal{L}_{n}^{\dagger} D_{\perp}^{\rho} q(\xi^{-}) - i g_{s} \int d\lambda \theta(-\lambda) \left(\mathcal{L}_{n}^{\dagger} G_{\perp}^{+\rho} \mathcal{L}_{n} \right) \left(\lambda n + \xi^{-} \right) \mathcal{L}_{n}^{\dagger} q(\xi^{-}),$$
(23)

which can be derived from EOM: $n \cdot D\mathcal{L}_n = 0$. Ignoring D_{\perp} -term and using the definition of θ function

$$\theta(-\lambda) = \frac{-i}{2\pi} \int \frac{d\omega}{\omega + i\epsilon} e^{-i\omega\lambda},$$
(24)

we have

$$W^{\mu\nu} \supset \frac{i}{N_{c}} \bar{q}(y) g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial \delta(q_{\perp})}{\partial q_{\perp}^{\rho}} \int \frac{d\omega}{\omega + i\epsilon} \left[\frac{g_{s}}{2\pi} \int \frac{d\xi_{a}^{-}}{4\pi} e^{i\xi_{a}^{-}q^{+}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\lambda e^{i\lambda\omega} \right] \\ \langle \bar{q}(0) \gamma^{+} \left(\mathcal{L}_{n}^{\dagger} G_{\perp}^{+\rho} \mathcal{L}_{n} \right) (\lambda n + \xi_{a}^{-}) \mathcal{L}(\xi_{a}^{-})_{n}^{\dagger} q(\xi_{a}^{-}) \right].$$
(25)

The factor $[\cdots]$ is real from PT symmetry. Hence, we have to take the discontinuity of the other part.

$$W^{\mu\nu} \supset \frac{i}{N_{c}} \bar{q}(y) g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial \delta(q_{\perp})}{\partial q_{\perp}^{\rho}} \int \frac{d\omega}{\omega + i\epsilon} \left[\frac{g_{s}}{2\pi} \int \frac{d\xi_{a}^{-}}{4\pi} e^{i\xi_{a}^{-}q^{+}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\lambda e^{i\lambda\omega} \right. \\ \left. \left\langle \bar{q}(0) \gamma^{+} \left(\mathcal{L}_{n}^{\dagger} G_{\perp}^{+\rho} \mathcal{L}_{n} \right) \left(\lambda n + \xi_{a}^{-} \right) \mathcal{L}(\xi_{a}^{-})_{n}^{\dagger} q(\xi_{a}^{-}) \right\rangle \right].$$

$$(26)$$

The factor $[\cdots]$ is real from PT symmetry. Hence, we have to take the discontinuity of the other part.

$$W^{\mu\nu} \supset \frac{1}{N_{c}} \bar{q}(y) g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial \delta(q_{\perp})}{\partial q_{\perp}^{\rho}} \frac{g_{s}}{2} \lim_{k^{+} \to 0} \int \frac{d\xi_{a}^{-} d\xi^{-}}{4\pi} e^{i\xi_{a}^{-}k_{A}^{+} + i\xi^{-}k^{+}} \\ \langle \bar{q}(0)\gamma^{+} \left(\mathcal{L}_{n}^{\dagger} G_{\perp}^{+\rho} \mathcal{L}_{n}\right) (\xi^{-}) \mathcal{L}(\xi_{a}^{-})_{n}^{\dagger} q(\xi_{a}^{-}) \rangle, \qquad (27)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

which is gauge invariant, and there is no the noise of nonlinear term.

• Hadronic tensor:

$$W^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2N_c} \bar{q}(y) T_F(x,x) \left[\frac{1}{q^-} (I^{\mu} \tilde{s}^{\nu}_{\perp} + I^{\nu} \tilde{s}^{\mu}_{\perp}) \delta^2(q_{\perp}) + g^{\mu\nu}_{\perp} \tilde{s}^{\rho}_{\perp} \frac{\partial \delta^2(q_{\perp})}{\partial q^{\rho}_{\perp}} \right],$$
(28)

where $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_{\perp}^{\mu} \equiv \epsilon_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} \mathbf{s}_{\nu}, \ \epsilon_{\perp}^{12} = 1.$

- EM gauge invariance: $\int d^2 q_{\perp} q_{\mu} W^{\mu\nu} F(q_{\perp}) = 0.$
- Cross section:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2 d\Omega} = -\frac{\alpha^2 |S_{\perp}|}{8N_c Q^3} \sin 2\theta \sin(\phi - \phi_s) \int dx dy \,\delta(xyS - Q^2) \bar{q}(y) T_F(x, x).$$
(29)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

- Collinear expansion and color and EM gauge invariance;
- Tree level result;
- Generalize to Semi-inclusive DIS;
- One loop correction: very important examination of twist-3 factorization.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ