# SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 29 January 2016 Accepted: 09 May 2016 Published: 26 May 2016

## **OPEN** Percolation Phase Transition of **Surface Air Temperature Networks** under Attacks of El Niño/La Niña

Zhenghui Lu<sup>1</sup>, Naiming Yuan<sup>2,3</sup> & Zuntao Fu<sup>1</sup>

In this study, sea surface air temperature over the Pacific is constructed as a network, and the influences of sea surface temperature anomaly in the tropical central eastern Pacific (El Niño/La Niña) are regarded as a kind of natural attack on the network. The results show that El Niño/La Niña leads an abrupt percolation phase transition on the climate networks from stable to unstable or metastable phase state, corresponding to the fact that the climate condition changes from normal to abnormal significantly during El Niño/La Niña. By simulating three different forms of attacks on an idealized network, including Most connected Attack (MA), Localized Attack (LA) and Random Attack (RA), we found that both MA and LA lead to stepwise phase transitions, while RA leads to a second-order phase transition. It is found that most attacks due to El Niño/La Niña are close to the combination of MA and LA, and a percolation critical threshold P<sub>c</sub> can be estimated to determine whether the percolation phase transition happens. Therefore, the findings in this study may renew our understandings of the influence of El Niño/La Niña on climate, and further help us in better predicting the subsequent events triggered by El Niño/La Niña.

El Niño/La Niña, characterized by anomalous warming/cooling in the tropical central eastern Pacific, is one of the most important ocean-atmosphere coupled phenomena in climate system. It has great influences on climate, which may further cause natural disasters like flood and drought<sup>1-6</sup>. The climate during El Niño/La Niña is quite different from that during normal periods. Although a lot of studies about El Niño/La Niña have been done, there are still many problems unsolved, such as the prediction of El Niño/La Niña<sup>7-9</sup>, impact of El Niño/La Niña on climate<sup>10,11</sup>, distinction of different types of El Niño/La Niña<sup>12-14</sup>, and so on. In order to solve these problems, or modestly speaking, to better understand El Niño/La Niña, it is necessary to develop new methods and from new perspectives to study El Niño/La Niña.

Recently, more and more studies have applied the concept of complex networks to investigate climate system. It is called climate network, where different regions of the world are represented as nodes which communicate with each other by exchanging heat, material, and even forces. These interactions can be represented by links, which are quantified by measuring the similarity between time series of corresponding individual nodes. Any two nodes are connected if the similarity, or link strength  $W_{i,i}^{t}$  (see "Method" section) of a specific variable measured at the two nodes is beyond a threshold<sup>15</sup>. The concept of climate network effectively introduced the vast framework of network analysis to climate science and triggered plenty of research activities in this area, such as making climate prediction<sup>16-20</sup>, evaluating effects of natural modes of climate variability on network properties<sup>21-28</sup>, and so on.

Percolation theory is one of the most interesting findings in complex networks but has never been applied to study climate network<sup>29-45</sup>. The theory indicates the existence of a critical probability  $P_c$ , such that above  $P_c$  the network is divided into isolated clusters, while below  $P_c$  a giant cluster still spans the entire network. The critical probability  $P_{c2}$  called as the percolation threshold, can be defined as the fraction of node removal, which in other words, means the percentage of node that the links have been cutoff from the entire network. This kind of node removal can be considered as an attack on network, and the phenomenon is markedly similar to a percolation phase transition. Once the phase state converts from stable to unstable or metastable, the network will be broken

<sup>1</sup>Lab for Climate and Ocean-Atmosphere Studies, Dept. of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, China. <sup>2</sup>Department of Geography, Climatology, Climate Dynamics and Climate Change, Justus Liebiq University Giessen, 35390 Giessen, Germany. <sup>3</sup>Key Laboratory of Regional Climate-Environment for Temperate East Asia, Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Z.F. (email: fuzt@pku.edu.cn)





totally or partly. Different phase states mean different physical conditions. In real world, many complex systems like road and railway networks, electrical power networks, internet networks, usually can be damaged by malicious attacks or natural disasters, such as power blackout and earthquake<sup>29,32-34,36</sup>. Analogously, in climate system, the network may also converts its state under the influences of some big climate events, such as El Niño/La Niña. From percolation theory's point of view, we can consider the big climate events as attacks on the climate network. Since percolation theory could distinguish different network states and further monitor transitions of different states, we believe it should be also useful in climate research, and may provide us with a new perspective on the climate diagnosis, monitoring and forecasting. Hence, in this study, we will discuss the percolation phase transition in the climate networks. Considering El Niño/La Niña is one the most important phenomenon in climate system, we will mainly focus on the network transition under the attack of El Niño/La Niña.

This paper is organized as following: In the "Results" section, we first constructed a network by using the surface air temperature over Pacific and studied the influences of El Niño/La Niña. To check whether there is a phase transition, and according to which way the state is converted, we further established an idealized network and simulated the transitions under different kinds of attacks. With all the findings, a detailed discussion is made in the "Conclusion and Discussion" section, and a brief description of the data and methods are provided in the end of this paper.

#### Results

**Attacks of El Niño/La Niña on surface air temperature network.** In this study, the surface air temperatures over the spatial domain  $120^{\circ}E$  to  $285^{\circ}W$  and  $20^{\circ}N$  to  $20^{\circ}S$  are constructed as a network. As shown in Fig. 1, the nodes in the network have a resolution of  $5^{\circ} \times 5^{\circ}$ , and they are marked with numbers from 1 to 306 according to the sequence from west to east and from north to south. Because the atmosphere above ocean can easily be heated/cooled by the sea surface temperature anomaly (SSTA), which means the links in the surface air temperature network can easily be influenced<sup>26,27</sup>. To quantify in what extent the SSTA, such as the El Niño/La Niña, can affect the network, we use two quantities, as shown below:

- i) The total degrees of connection  $(D_T)$ . As described in "Method" section, if the link strength between two nodes exceeds a threshold Q, we consider the two nodes are connected, and there will be one degree of connection counted. By summing the degrees over all nodes, we obtain the total degree of connection  $D_T$ .
- ii) The intensity of attack (*P*). If a node is not connected with any other nodes, we consider the node as isolated node. The intensity of attack is then defined as the fraction of isolated nodes over the total nodes.

If as expected, the effects of El Niño/La Niña on the surface air temperature network can be considered as a kind of attack, which will damage the connections of the nodes in the network, then during the El Niño/La Niña events, the total degree of connection  $D_T$  should decrease, and the fraction of isolated nodes (Intensity of attack, P) should increase. See Fig. 2, we indeed find the expected varying patterns, which correspond to Nino3.4 index very well. Figure 2a shows the distribution of isolated nodes as a function of time (x-axis) and the node index (1~306, y-axis). The black dots represent the isolated nodes. Figure 2b shows the temporal variation of total connection degree  $D_T$  (red) and intensity of attack P (blue), while Fig. 2d shows the Nino3.4 index. As one can see, during El Niño/La Niña events (when the Nino3.4 index is larger/smaller than +0.5/-0.5, see Fig. 2d), there will be much more nodes isolated (Fig. 2a), much stronger P (Fig. 2b), and much lower total connection degrees  $D_T$  (Fig. 2b). Therefore, we believe the sea surface temperature anomaly (El Niño/La Niña) indeed can attack the surface air temperature network, and isolate nodes from the network.

Since nodes can be isolated under the attack of El Niño/La Niña, and the network can be divided into several clusters (cluster, a part of the network, where any two nodes can be connected with at least one path), another question comes out: will there be a phase transition in the network? Or in other words, will there be a threshold that above the threshold the state of the network will convert abruptly? To address this question, another quantity, the giant component size *S*, is used in our study. As described in "Method" section, the giant component size *S* is defined as the ratio of the number of nodes in the largest cluster and the number of total connected nodes. It is an indicator from percolation theory, and can be used to determine whether the phase of the network has been changed significantly. As shown in Fig. 2c (the red line), in surface air temperature network, the giant component size *S* is significantly correlated with the attack intensity P (Corr = -0.712), and *S* falls abruptly when *P* is





increasing. This indicates the percolation phase transition may appear in the surface air temperature network. To better show how the network reacts under the attack of El Niño/La Niña, we further classified all the considered time points (1948~2015) into two groups according to the Nino3.4 index. If the Nino3.4 index is larger (or smaller) than 0.5 (or -0.5), we name them as anomalous cases, otherwise, we name them as normal cases. By studying how the giant component size S varies with different P in the two groups, we obtain Fig. 3, where significant differences can be found. During normal period (-0.5 < Nino3.4 index < 0.5, see Fig. 3b), the giant component size S from nearly all the cases are above 0.8. While during anomalous period (Nino3.4 index > 0.5, or Nino3.4 index < -0.5, see Fig. 3a), one can easily find the giant component size S drops abruptly from a high level (above 0.8) to a low level (below 0.6) once the attack intensity P is larger than 0.48. According to percolation theory, this kind of abrupt jumping, called abrupt percolation phase transition, is similar to the first-order phase transition, with the giant component size S suddenly jumping from a relatively high value to a relatively low value at a transition point (i.e. critical threshold), which indicates the phase state of the network converts from stable to unstable or metastable abruptly. P = 0.48 seems to be the critical threshold. For the cases during normal period (Fig. 3b), since the attack of the sea surface temperature anomaly (SSTA) in the tropical central eastern Pacific is not strong enough (P < 0.48), no abrupt changes of the network happened. However, for some cases during anomalous period (Fig. 3a), the attack of the SSTA can be strong enough (P > 0.48) to lead to the phase transition. Therefore, from Fig. 3, we may conclude that once the attack intensity of El Niño/La Niña exceeds a critical threshold ( $P_c = 0.48$ ), an abrupt phase transition of the above surface air temperature network can be expected.

**Simulation of the El Niño/La Niña attack by using idealized network.** To further study the influences of El Niño/La Niña on the surface air temperature network, especially to study in which way the nodes in the network are isolated under the attack of El Niño/La Niña, we in this section constructed an idealized network. We first made a statistic on the connections between each pair of nodes in the network. For the pair of nodes where connection frequently appeared (see Supplementary Fig. 2, we have defined a threshold to determine whether the connection frequently appears or not), we set there is a connection between them in the idealized network. As shown in Fig. 4, in this way, an artificial network is constructed, which may represent the "background climatology" of the network. Before further studies, we checked the ability of the idealized network in simulating the reactions under attacks of El Niño/La Niña in real world network. As shown in Supplementary Fig. 3, under attacks of El Niño/La Niña, both the total degrees of connection  $D_T$  and the giant component size S have shown very similar temporal patterns as the the results found from the real network. Therefore, this idealized network is reasonable and appropriate for further simulating the reactions under attacks.

To the end of this section, we will study the reactions of the idealized network under different kinds of attacks. Since El Niño/La Niña basically occurs in the tropical central eastern Pacific, their attacks on the surface air temperature network of course are more likely to appear in this region, as shown in Fig. 5b. Therefore, the first kind of attack we use is the Localized attack (LA)<sup>46</sup>, where the nodes are isolated according to their vulnerability to the attacks of El Niño/La Niña, see Fig. 5b. Besides LA, another two kinds of attack are also employed. One is the Most connected Attack (MA), and the other is the Random Attack (RA)<sup>31,35</sup>. MA means the nodes will be isolated



**Figure 3.** Study of the percolation phase transition in the surface air temperature network. Two groups are classified according to the Nino3.4 index. For the group of normal cases (**b**), the giant component size *S* from nearly all the time points are above 0.8. While for the anomalous cases (**a**), *S* drops abruptly as soon as the attack intensity *P* exceeds a threshold (around 0.48), which indicates phase transition in the surface air temperature network. The color shown in this figure represents the probability for a given case (time point) to have the corresponding *S* and *P*. The blue circles represent the maximum values of the probability in each interval with a bin size of 0.01 from P = 0 to 1, which are connected by the blue lines. The vertical black dashed line represents 0.48.







**Figure 5.** Spatial distributions of node degrees  $K_i$  and node vulnerabilities  $F_{i^*}$  (a) shows the spatial distribution of  $K_i$  in the idealized network (see Fig. 4). A node with red color means it has more connections (higher K) than a node with yellow color. Therefore, this figure corresponds to the Most connected Attack (MA), which removes nodes according to the decreasing sequence of node degree  $K_i$ . (b) shows the spatial distribution of  $F_i$ . A node with red color means it is more vulnerable (higher F) than a node with yellow color. Therefore, this figure corresponds to the Localized Attack (LA), which removes nodes according to the decreasing sequence of node vulnerability  $F_i$ . These two figures are generated by using Matlab (version R2012a, http://www.mathworks. com/pl\_homepage).

according to the connection degrees. See Figs 4 and 5a, the node with the most connections will be removed first, and so on. While RA means the nodes will be isolated randomly. By removing nodes, in other words, by increasing the intensity of attacks *P*, we can calculate the corresponding giant component size *S* from the idealized network. Figure 6 shows the results for LA (green), MA (red), and RA (blue) respectively. Moreover, we spend 100 times in simulating the results of RA and take the average. As one can see, both LA and MA can lead to a stepwise phase transition, while RA leads to a second-order phase transition<sup>32,42</sup>. Since as shown in Fig. 2, the intensity of



**Figure 6. Simulation results of percolation phase transition in the idealized network.** Results of different kinds of attacks are shown by curves with different colors. MA: red, RA: blue, and LA: green. The areas with different colors are the results from Fig. 3a. As one can see, both MA and LA can lead to stepwise phase transitions, and the results of MA fit better to the results from real networks. The colored area mainly concentrates on two phase states, which indicates a phase transition indeed can happen under the attack of El Niño/La Niña. The vertical black dashed lines represent 0.43, 0.48 and 0.6, respectively.

attacks P due to El Niño/La Niña is below 0.6, we thus only focus on the P interval between 0 and 0.6. For MA, interestingly there exits an obvious critical threshold  $P_c$  at around 0.43, which is close to the critical threshold found in Fig. 3. For LA, one can also see a critical threshold, but is around P = 0.5 and P = 0.6. By comparing the percolation phase transition simulated from the idealized network with the results calculated from the real network (Fig. 3), it is easy to find that the results from MA have the best simulation, which means the attacks of El Niño/La Niña on the surface air temperature network may mainly follow the way of MA. That is, the nodes with the most connections may be isolated earlier than the nodes with less connections. However, we like to note that we are studying the influences of El Niño/La Niña, there should be no doubt that the nodes in the key region (tropical central eastern Pacific) are more vulnerable. As shown in Fig. 5b, the nodes in the key region are more frequently isolated under the attacks of El Niño/La Niña. Therefore, besides MA, the influence of El Niño/La Niña on the surface air temperature network should also to some extent follow the way of LA. In other words, the El Niño/La Niña may prefer two ways (MA and LA) to attack the above air temperature network. When El Niño/La Niña happens, both the local nodes and the nodes with the most connections are more likely to be isolated. But as shown in Fig. 6, it is not easy for LA to reach a critical threshold ( $P_c$  is between 0.5 and 0.6), therefore, as the nodes are removed during an El Niño/La Niña event, the state of the network is more likely to convert following the way of MA, where the  $P_c$  is much smaller (around 0.43).

### **Conclusion and Discussion**

In this work, the influence of El Niño/La Niña are studied from a new perspective: network. We consider the surface air temperature field over Pacific as a network, and the influence of El Niño/La Niña as attacks on the network. By measuring quantities such as the total degrees of connection  $D_T$  and the intensity of attack P, we find the surface air temperature network will be influenced in terms of isolating nodes under the attacks of El Niño/La Niña. By further studying the giant component size S, which is a quantity from the percolation theory, we find that there exists a critical threshold  $P_c$ , above which the network will convert its state abruptly. From the idealized network, we studied the network reactions under different kinds of attacks, and find that the most possible ways for the network to be influenced under the attacks of El Niño/La Niña, is LA (Localized Attack) and MA (Most connected Attack). This means, the nodes which located in the relevant region (tropical central eastern Pacific) and the nodes with the most connections will more likely be isolated. With the increasing of attack intensity P, more and more nodes will be isolated. At a critical point ( $P_c$  around 0.48), the state of the surface air temperature network will change abruptly from stable to unstable or metastable.

This work provides us with a new view to understand the influence of El Niño/La Niña on climate. Suppose we consider the climate state during normal periods as stable phase states. When El Niño/La Niña happens, the influence of sea surface temperature anomaly will be first transferred into the above atmosphere, where the former connections (during normal periods) may be cutoff and new connections may be established. As a result, the atmosphere state may be changed, or in other words, the atmosphere will be coupled differently with the ocean. With the new state, the atmosphere will further transfer the influence of El Niño/La Niña to other remote regions, such as East Asia Monsoon region, etc. This is the well known way how El Niño/La Niña is teleconnected with remote regions, and the atmosphere plays a role as a bridge (Atmospheric Bridge). In this study, by using the concept of network and the theory of percolation, we studied how the El Niño/La Niña events can influence the surface air temperature network. We focused on the former connections from the normal periods (without El Niño/La Niña) and revealed how the former connections may be attacked by El Niño/La Niña. The results show that:

- i) Not all sea surface temperature anomalies in the tropical central eastern Pacific can induce the transition of states in the above atmosphere. The intensity of attack should exceed the critical threshold  $P_c$  (around 0.48).
- ii) When the influence is strong enough, the state of the above atmosphere will convert abruptly, not gradually.

In this study, we classified the considered time points into two groups according to the Nino3.4 index. For the group of normal cases (-0.5 < Nino3.4 index < 0.5), the attack intensity *P* is not strong enough and no abrupt changes of *S* is found. While for the group of anomalous cases (Nino3.4 index > 0.5, or Nino3.4 index < -0.5), the *P* can be larger than 0.48, and abrupt phase transitions are found. Therefore, we need to note that the traditional definition of El Niño/La Niña is reasonable and indeed useful in monitoring El Niño/La Niña events. However, we would like to emphasize that not all the cases when Nino3.4 index > 0.5, or Nino3.4 index < -0.5, can result in a phase transition of the surface air temperature network. As discussed above, to better evaluate the influences of El Niño/La Niña, or even predict the subsequent events trigged by El Niño/La Niña, one is suggested to first measure the intensity of attack *P* to check whether the above atmosphere has changed its state. If *P* is larger than  $P_c$ , the phase of the surface air temperature network will be changed abruptly, and the influence of El Niño/La Niña may further be transferred to remote regions. Otherwise, one has to consider the possible effects of El Niño/La Niña

Obviously, from this study we can see  $P_c$  is the key quantity, which is not only important for determining of percolation phase transition in the network, but also helpful in improving our climate prediction skills. In this work, we found  $P_c$  is around 0.48, but we need to admit that more detailed researches are still necessary. For instance, we need to check if the  $P_c$  value remains unchanged for El Niño Modoki, whether  $P_c = 0.48$  is universal for all El Niño/La Niña events, and so on. Furthermore, we also need to note that, network theory and percolation theory are new in climate studies. Besides the research on El Niño/La Niña, other explorations from this new perspective are also needed in the future.

#### **Data and Methods**

**Data.** In this study, the daily surface air temperature from NCEP/NCAR reanalysis 1 project for years 1948–2015 are used<sup>47</sup>. The data are downloaded from the National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration (NOAA, http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/data/gridded/data.ncep.reanalysis.surface.html). Spatial domain between  $120^{\circ}E$  and  $285^{\circ}W$ ,  $20^{\circ}N$  and  $20^{\circ}S$  are selected for the analysis, and the horizontal resolution is  $5^{\circ} \times 5^{\circ}$  (see Fig. 1, from the original dataset which has horizontal resolution of  $2.5^{\circ} \times 2.5^{\circ}$ , every other grid point is selected as a node in Fig. 1). Each node is marked with numbers from 1 to 306 as node index, according to the sequence from west to east and from north to south. Besides the surface air temperature, the monthly Nino3.4 Sea Surface Temperature Anomaly (Nino34 index) is also used as an indicator of El Niño/La Niña events (see Fig. 2d). The index is also downloaded from NOAA (http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/data/climateindices/).

#### Methods

**Surface air temperature Network.** We employ the nonlinear synchronization measure to construct a network<sup>23,26,27</sup>. For each node in Fig. 1, we first extract anomaly values by subtracting long-term mean annual cycle (leap days are removed),  $T_k(d)$ , where k is the node index and d is the calendar date. Then we compute, for every 30th day t in the considered time span between January 1950 and August 2015, the time-delayed cross-correlation coefficients for each pair of nodes i and j over 365 days before t, with time lags  $\tau$  between -200 days and 200 days. The result is denoted by  $C_{i,j}^t(\tau)$ . Finally we determine, for each time point t, the maximum, the mean and the standard deviation of the absolute values of the cross-correlation coefficients  $C_{i,j}^t(\tau)$ , and further define the link strength as<sup>23,26,27</sup>

$$W_{i,j}^{t} = \frac{\max(|C_{i,j}^{t}(\tau)|) - \max(|C_{i,j}^{t}(\tau)|)}{\operatorname{std}(|C_{i,j}^{t}(\tau)|)},$$
(1)

A pair of nodes is considered as connected if their link strength is above a threshold  $Q^{48,49}$  (for confidence level of 99%, Q = 0.57. See the Supplementary Figure 1), and one degree of connection is counted. Otherwise, we say there is no connection between the two nodes under a given confidence level. By using Heaviside function, we can represent this definition as

$$A_{i,j}^{t} = \theta(W_{i,j}^{t} - Q) = \begin{cases} 1, & W_{i,j}^{t} > Q \\ 0, & W_{i,j}^{t} < Q \end{cases}$$
(2)

and the degrees of node *i* at time *t* is thus represented as,

$$K_i^t = \sum_{j=1}^{j=300} A_{i,j}^t,$$
(3)

where *i* and *j* are both the node indexes from 1 to 306. By summing the degrees of all the nodes, we will further obtain the total degrees of connection  $D_T$ ,

:- 206

$$D_T = \sum_{i=1}^{i=306} K_i^t.$$
 (4)

If at a given time point *t*, node *i* has no connections with any other nodes,  $K_i^t = 0$ , we name it as an isolated node. To better describing this concept, we further defined a new quantity as  $R_i^t$ ,

$$R_{i}^{t} = \begin{cases} 1, & K_{i}^{t} = 0\\ 0, & K_{i}^{t} > 0 \end{cases},$$
(5)

where *i* is the node index from 1 to 306. If the node *i* at time point *t* is an isolated node, we set  $R_i^t = 1$ , otherwise,  $R_i^t = 0$ . See Fig. 2a, the distribution of isolated nodes over time is shown as black dots.

**Intensity of attacks.** In percolation theory, intensity of attacks is usually defined as the fraction of nodes removed from original network. When a node is removed, all links between this node and other nodes will be cut off and this node will become an isolated node. Analogously, in the surface air temperature network, we consider the isolated nodes as the result of attacks such as El NinO/La Nina, and define the intensity of attacks at time point *t* as,

$$P^{t} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{i=306} R_{i}^{t}}{306},\tag{6}$$

where  $R_i^t$  is quantity defined in equation (5), and  $P^t$  represents the fraction of the isolated nodes, which as defined is the intensity of attacks at time point *t*. As shown in Fig. 2b,c, the blue curve shows the intensity of attacks over time.

**Node Vulnerability.** To quantify the vulnerability of a given node under attacks, we defined another quantity as,

$$F_i = \frac{\sum_{t \in T} R_i^t}{L(T)},\tag{7}$$

where L(T) represents the length of a given time period (the total time points), and  $F_i$  is the fraction of the time points when node *i* is isolated over the total time points. See Fig. 5b, the spatial distribution of  $F_i$  is show with different colors.

**Giant component size.** In percolation theory, giant component size is used to measure fragmentation and functionality of network, and indicate the phase state of network. To calculate the giant component size, one needs to first find the largest cluster, where i) any two nodes can be connected with at least one path, and ii) the number of nodes is the highest. Then the giant component size at time point *t* can be defined as,

$$S^{t} = \frac{N_{LC}}{306 - \sum_{i=1}^{i=306} R_{i}^{t}},$$
(8)

where  $N_{LC}$  is the number of nodes in the largest cluster, and  $S^t$  represents the giant component size at time point t. See Fig. 2c, the giant component size S are shown as the red curve. It is worth to note that besides the S defined as equation (8), there is another widely used definition, where the giant component size are defined as the ratio of the number of nodes in the largest cluster and the number of the total nodes<sup>50</sup>. By using this definition, we find similar results as what we show in this paper, therefore the conclusions in our research stay unchanged.

**Idealized network.** In meteorology, composite analysis is widely used to determine a background field during a specific time period. In this study, we borrow this idea to construct a idealized network. Suppose there exists an idealized network which represents the state of climate network under slight or even no attacks from El Niño/La Niña, but when El Niño/La Niña happens, it can react similarly as the real network. To establish such a network, we need to first find the time points when no (or only very slight) attack happens. By setting S' > 0.98, we can select the time points out as T',

$$T' = \{t'_1, t'_2, t'_3, \cdots, t'_k, \cdots\},$$
(9)

where  $t'_k$  represents the time point when there is no (or very slight) attack and the giant component size S > 0.98. Then we calculate the frequency of occurrence for each connection (e.g., connection between node *i* and node *j*, we can also name it as edge between *i* and *j*) in the selected time points T',

$$E_{i,j} = \frac{\sum_{t \in T'} A_{i,j}^{t}}{L(T')},$$
(10)

where L(T') is the number of time points in T', and  $E_{i,j}$  represents the frequency of occurrence of the connection between node *i* and node *j*. If  $E_{i,j}$  is higher than a threshold *E*, which indicates a high possibility that the two nodes are connected, we therefore will set the two nodes connected in the idealized network, as  $C_{i,j} = 1$ . Otherwise, we set the two nodes separated, as  $C_{i,j} = 0$ . With the help of Heaviside function,  $C_{i,j}$  can be summarized as,

$$C_{i,j} = \theta(E_{i,j} - E) = \begin{cases} 1, & E_{i,j} > E \\ 0, & E_{i,j} < E \end{cases}$$
(11)

The threshold *E* should satisfy two conditions. First, with an appropriate *E*, the established idealized network should have a big giant component size, S > 0.98. Second, an appropriate threshold *E* should make sure that the

total degrees of connection  $D_T$  of the idealized network does not exceed the range of  $D_T$  of the real networks over the selected time points T'. As introduced in Supplementary Fig. 2, we in this study choose E = 0.29. According to the above procedures, the idealized network is constructed, as shown in Fig. 4.

#### References

- 1. Clarke, A. J. An introduction to the dynamics of El Niño & the Southern Oscillation Ch. 12, 249–279 (Academic Press, 2008).
- Rhilander, S. G. El Niño, La Niña, and the southern oscillation Vol. 46 (eds Holton, J. R. & Dmowska, R.) Ch. 1, 9–56 (Academic Press, 1989).
- 3. Dijkstra, H. A. & Burgers, G. Fluid dynamics of El Niño variability. Annu. Rev. Fluid. Mech. 34, 531-558 (2002).
- Wang, C., Deser, C., Yu, J. -Y., DiNezio, P. & Clement, A. El Niño and southern oscillation (ENSO): a review. Coral Reefs of the Eastern Pacific 3–19 (2012).
- 5. Lintner, B. R. & Chiang, J. C. H. Adjustment of the Remote Tropical Climate to El Niño Conditions. J. Climate 20, 2544–2557 (2007).
- 6. Okumura, Y. M. & Deser, C. Asymmetry in the Duration of El Niño and La Niña. J. Climate 23, 5826–5843 (2010).
- Wittenberg, A. T., Rosati, A., Delworth, T. L., Vecchi, G. A. & Zeng, F. ENSO Modulation: Is It Decadally Predictable? J. Climate 27, 2667–2681 (2014).
- 8. Latif, M. et al. A review of the predictability and prediction of ENSO. J. Geophys. Res. 103, 14375 (1998).
- 9. Clarke, A. J. El Niño Physics and El Niño Predictability. Annual Review of Marine Science 6, 79-99 (2014).
- 10. Webster, P. J. & Yang, S. Monsoon and ENSO: Selectively interactive systems. Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc. 118, 877-926 (1992).
  - Kumar, K. K., Rajagopalan, B., Hoerling, M., Bates, G. & Cane, M. Unraveling the Mystery of indian Monsoon Failure During El Niño. Science 314, 115–119 (2006).
  - Weng, H., Ashok, K., Behera, S. K., Rao, S. A. & Yamagata, T. Impacts of recent El Niño Modoki on dry/wet conditions in the Pacific rim during boreal summer. *Climate Dyn.* 29, 113–129 (2007).
  - Weng, H., Behera, S. K. & Yamagata, T. Anomalous winter climate conditions in the Pacific rim during recent El Niño Modoki and El Niño events. Climate Dyn. 32, 663–674 (2008).
  - 14. Karnauskas, K. B. Can we distinguish canonical El Niño from Modoki? Geophys. Res. Lett. 40, 5246-5251 (2013).
- Tsonis, A. A., Swanson, K. L. & Roebber, P. J. What Do Networks Have to Do with Climate? Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc. 87, 585–595 (2006).
   Ludescher, J. et al. Improved El Niño forecasting by cooperativity detection. PNAS 110, 19172–19173 (2013).
- 17. Ludescher, J. et al. Very early warning of next El Niño. PNAS 111, 2064-2066 (2014).
- Boers, N. et al. Prediction of extreme floods in the eastern Central Andes based on a complex networks approach. Nat. Commun. 5, 5199 (2014).
- Feng, Q. Y., Viebahn, J. P. & Dijkstra, H. A. Deep ocean early warning signals of an Atlantic MOC collapse. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 41, 6009–6015 (2014).
- van der Mheen, M. et al. Interaction network based early warning indicators for the Atlantic MOC collapse. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 40, 2714–2719 (2013).
- Tsonis, A. A., Wang, G., Swanson, K. L., Rodrigues, F. A. & Costa, L. d. F. Community structure and dynamics in climate networks. *Climate Dyn.* 37, 933–940 (2010).
- Radebach, A., Donner, R. V., Runge, J., Donges, J. F. & Kurths, J. Disentangling differnet types of El Niño episodes by evolving climate network analysis. *Phys. Rev. E* 88, 052807 (2013).
- Gozolchiani, A., Yamasaki, K., Gazit, O. & Havlin, S. Pattern of climate network blinking links follows El Niño events. *Europhys. Lett.* 83, 28005 (2008).
- 24. Guez, O., Gozolchiani, A., Berezin, Y., Brenner, S. & Havlin, S. Climate network structure evolves with North Atlantic Oscillation phases. *Europhys. Lett.* **98**, 38006 (2012).
- Guez, O., Gozolchiani, A., Berezin, Y., Wang, Y. & Havlin, S. Global climate network evolves with North Atlantic Oscillation phases: Coupling to Southern Pacific Ocean. *Europhys. Lett.* 103, 68006 (2013).
- Gozolchiani, A., Havlin, S. & Yamasaki, K. Emergence of El Niño as an Autonomous Component in the Climate Network. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 107, 148501 (2011).
- Yamasaki, K., Gozolchiani, A. & Havlin, S. Climate Networks around the Globe are Significantly affected by El Niño. Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 228501 (2008).
- Boers, N., Donner, R. V., Bookhagen, B. & Kurths, J. Complex network analysis helps to identify impacts of the El Niño Southern Oscillation on moisture divergence in South America. *Climate Dyn.* 45, 619–632 (2015).
- 29. Parshan, R., Buldyrev, S. V. & Havlin, S. Critical effect of dependency groups on the function of networks. *PNAS* **108**, 1007–1010 (2011).
- 30. Dorogovtsev, S. N., Goltsev, A. V. & Mendes, J. F. F. Critical phenomena in complex networks. Rev Mod Phys. 80, 1275–1335 (2008).
- 31. Albert, R., Jeong, H. & Barabási, A.-L. Error and attack tolerance of complex networks. *Nature* 406, 378–382 (2000).
- 32. Vespignani, A. Complex networks: The fragility of interdependency. Nature 464, 984-985 (2010).
- Buldyrev, S. V., Parshani, R., Paul, G., Stanley, H. E. & Havlin, S. Catastrophic cascade of failures in interdependent networks. *Nature* 464, 1025–1028 (2010).
- Bashan, A., Berezin, Y., Buldyrev, S. V. & Havlin, S. The extreme vulnerability of interdependent spatially embedded networks. *Nature Phys.* 9, 667–672 (2013).
- Gallos, L. K., Cohen, R., Argyrakis, P., Bunde, A. & Havlin, S. Stability and Topology of Scale-Free Networks under Attack and Defense Strategies. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 94, 188701 (2005).
- Schneider, C. M., Moreira, A. A., Andrade, J. S., Havlin, S. & Herrmann, H. J. Mitigation of malicious attacks on networks. PNAS 108, 3838–3841 (2011).
- Danziger, M. M., Bashan, A., Berezin, Y. & Havlin, S. Percolation and cascade dynamics of spatial networks with partial dependency. J. Complex Netw. 2, 460–474 (2014).
- 38. Boettcher, S., Singh, V. & Ziff, R. M. Ordinary percolation with discontinuous transitions. Nat. Commun. 3, 787 (2012).
- Zhao, J. -H., Zhou, H. -J. & Liu, Y. -Y. Inducing effect on the percolation transition in complex networks. Nat. Commun. 4, 787 (2013).
- 40. Gao, J., Buldyrev, S. V., Stanley, H. E., Xu, X. & Havlin, S. Percolation of a general network of networks. *Phys. Rev. E.* 88, 062816 (2013).
- 41. Hu, Y. et al. Percolation of interdependent networks with intersimilarity. Phys. Rev. E. 88, 052805 (2013).
- 42. Zhou, D. et al. Simultaneous first- and second-order percolation transitions in interdenpendent networks. Phys. Rev. E. 90, 012803 (2014).
- 43. Nagler, J., Tiessen, T. & Gutch, H. W. Continuous Percolation with Discontinuities. *Phys. Rev. X.* **2**, 031009 (2012).
- 44. Achlioptas, D., D'Souza, R. M. & Spencer, J. Explosive Percolation in Random Networks. *Science* **323**, 1453–1455 (2009).
- Havlin, S., Stanley, H. E., Bashan, A., Gao, J. & Kenett, D. Y. Percolation of interdependent network of networks. *Chaos Soliton Fract.* 72, 4–19 (2015).
- 46. Berezin, Y., Bashan, A., Danziger, M. M., Li, D. & Havlin, S. Localized attacks on spatially embedded networks with dependencies. Sci. Rep. 5, 8934 (2015).
- 47. Kalnay, E. et al. The NCEP/NCAR 40-year reanalysis project. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc. 77, 437-470 (1996).

- 48. Wang, Y. et al. Dominant Imprint of Rossby Waves in the Climate Network. Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 138501 (2013).
- 49. Guez, O., Gozolchiani, A. & Havlin, S. Influence of autocorrelation on the topology of the climate network. *Phys. Rev. E.* **90**, 062814 (2014).
- Cohen, R. & Havlin, S. Complex networks: structure, robustness and function (eds Cohen, R. & Havlin, S.) Ch. 10, 97–121 (Cambridge University Press, 2010).

#### Acknowledgements

Many thanks are due to support from National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 41175141 and No. 41405074) and from the Basic Research Fund of CAMS (Grands 2015Y011). This work is also funded by the LOEWE excellence cluster FACE2FACE of the Hessen State Ministry of Higher Education, Research and the Arts.

#### **Author Contributions**

Z.L. and Z.F. designed the study. Z.L. performed the study. N.Y. wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

#### **Additional Information**

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Lu, Z. *et al.* Percolation Phase Transition of Surface Air Temperature Networks under Attacks of El Niño/La Niña. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 26779; doi: 10.1038/srep26779 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/